Case: 1:11-cv-09190 Document #: 10 Filed: 02/27/12 Page 1 of 3 PageID #:123

(Revised 12/11)

## United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	Plantiff(s)					
LORI RAVENSCROFT GEARE						
	VS	•				
F. MICHAEL BALL, et al.			Defendant(s)			
Case Number: 1:11-0	CV-09190 Judge: Jam	nes B Zagel				
I, Roy L. Jacobs		hereby	y apply to the Court			
under Local Rule 83.1	4 for permission to appear and participate	in the above-entitled action o	on behalf of			
Plaintiff		by whom I ha	ave been retained.			
I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:						
Title of Court			DateAdmitted			
All Courts of New York State			May 1976			
	See Attachment A					
I have currently, or wi Court in the following	ithin the year preceding the date of this app actions:	lication, made pro hac vice a	pplications to this			
Casa Number	Case Title		Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*			
Case Number	None	(Olan	led of Deffied)			
	Trono					
*If denied, please exp (Attach additional for necessary)						
Pursuant to Local Rule 83 time of filing their initial repapers may be made.	.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within to notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court	the Northern District of Illinois mu having an office within this Distri	est designate, at the ct upon who service of			
	Has the applicant designated local counse	el? Yes 🚺 No				

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Case: 1:11-cv-09190 Document #: 10 Filed: 02/27/12 Page 2 of 3 PageID #:124

Has the applicant ever bee	en:						
censured, suspended, dis	barred, or otherwise discip	olined by any court	? Yes	No	$\checkmark$		
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?			Yes	No	$\checkmark$		
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resignabar of any court?			the Yes	No	$\checkmark$		
denied admission to the l	Yes	No	$\checkmark$				
held in contempt of court?			Yes	No	$\checkmark$		
NOTE: If the answer to <i>any</i> of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.  I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.							
/23/12 <sub>S/</sub> Roy L. Jacobs							
Date		Electronic Signature of Applicant					
Applicant's Name			First Name Roy		Middle Name/Initial		
Applicant's Law Firm	Roy Jacobs & Associates						
Applicant's Address	Street Address Room/Suite Number 60 East 42nd Street 46th Floor						
	City New York	State NY	ZIP Code 10165	Work Phone No. 212-867-1150			

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$176.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

## **INSERT A**

## **OTHER COURT ADMISSIONS**

U.S. District Court S.D.N.Y.	May 18, 1976		
U.S. district Court E.D.N.Y.	May 27, 1976		
U.S. District Court D. Colo.	May 25, 2007		
U.S. Court of Appeals 9th Cir.	April 17, 1981		
U.S. Court of Appeals D.C. Cir	November 29, 1982		
U.S. Court of Appeals 2nd Cir.	April 22, 1986		
U.S. court of Appeals 7 <sup>th</sup> Cir.	July 12, 1996		

The Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit requires long-time admitted counsel to file a Attorney Renewal Application and pay an additional fee. This is a routine re-filing, which I intend to do shortly.